

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 04 APR 2005

WIPO PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P-5458-PC	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/US03/29132	International filing date (day/month/year) 16 October 2003 (16.10.2003)	Priority date (day/month/year) 17 October 2002 (17.10.2002)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC IPC(7): H01S 3/10 and US Cl: 372/22		
Applicant LUMENIS INC.		

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 3 sheets, including this cover sheet.

This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).

These annexes consist of a total of 0 sheets.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:
 - I Basis of the report
 - II Priority
 - III Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
 - IV Lack of unity of invention
 - V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
 - VI Certain documents cited
 - VII Certain defects in the international application
 - VIII Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand 13 May 2004 (13.05.2004)	Date of completion of this report 16 March 2005 (16.03.2005)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Authorized officer Min Harvey <i>Jessica R. Mathews</i> Telephone No. (703) 306-3329

I. Basis of the report**1. With regard to the elements of the international application:***

the international application as originally filed.

the description:
pages 1-14 as originally filed
pages NONE , filed with the demand
pages NONE , filed with the letter of _____.

the claims:
pages 15-17 as originally filed
pages NONE , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
pages NONE , filed with the demand
pages NONE , filed with the letter of _____.

the drawings:
pages 1-6 as originally filed
pages NONE , filed with the demand
pages NONE , filed with the letter of _____.

the sequence listing part of the description:
pages NONE , as originally filed
pages NONE , filed with the demand
pages NONE , filed with the letter of _____.

2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.**These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language _____ which is:**

the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).

the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).

the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

contained in the international application in printed form.

filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.

furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.

The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.**The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.****4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:**

the description, pages NONE

the claims, Nos. NONE

the drawings, sheets/fig NONE

5. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(o)).***** Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).****** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.**

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. STATEMENT

Novelty (N)

Claims 17-19 and 26 YES
Claims NONE NO

Inventive Step (IS)

Claims NONE YES
Claims 1-26 NO

Industrial Applicability (IA)

Claims 1-26 YES
Claims NONE NO

2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Claims 1-16, 20-25 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Lin (US 5,144,630).

With respect to claims 1-16 and 20-25, Lin shows in Fig. 4-5, a laser illumination system comprising two diode pumped solid state lasers 1 and 30 to produce two or more respective wavelengths (2, 31, 36) along a common optical axis, a controller 27, a frequency doubling section 35, a fold mirror 37, one combiner mirror 34, a target area 28, a collimating mirror 3, and a delivery system 29.

Claims 17-19 and 26 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Lin (US 5,144,630) in view of Nielsen (US 5,291,505).

With respect to claim 17, Lin discloses all limitations of the claim except for the attenuator. Nielsen teaches an attenuator 22 (Fig. 1). For the benefit of attenuating the beam, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Lin an attenuator as taught by Nielsen.

With respect to claim 18, Nielsen discloses a detector 23 (Fig. 1).

With respect to claim 19, Nielsen discloses a diffuser 22 (Fig. 1.).

With respect to claim 26, Nielsen discloses a feedback from the detector (Fig. 1).

P NEW CITATIONS _____
US 5,291,505 A (NIELSEN) 01 March 1994, see entire document.